1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
9	EDDIE I OTHE CMITTLE HE	<b>I</b>
10 11	EDDIE LOUIS SMITH, III,  Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:19-cv-5096-BHS-JRC
12	V.	ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO PROVIDE
13	BELINDA D. STEWART, et al.,	ADDRESS UNDER SEAL AND DENYING DEFAULT MOTION
14	Defendants.	
15	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States	
16	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. See Dkt. 2. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma	
17	pauperis. See Dkt. 4.	
18	On March 4, 2019, the undersigned ordered service of the second amended complaint on	
19	the named defendants, including defendant Joby Taylor, by email. Dkt. 6. Defendant Taylor	
20	failed to return a waiver of service by the April 11, 2019 deadline. See Dkt. The undersigned	
21	then ordered defendant Taylor to show cause why he or she should not be personally served at	
22	his or her own expense. See Dkt. 35. Defendant Taylor has failed to respond to the show cause	
23	order, despite that the time in which to do so has exp	ired, and no attorney has appeared in this
24		

matter to represent defendant Taylor. See Dkt. Plaintiff has requested that the Court enter 2 defendant Taylor's default. See Dkt. 44. 3 At this stage, it appears that defendant Taylor has not yet been properly served, so that this Court lacks jurisdiction over defendant Taylor and cannot enter his or her default. See 5 Travelers Cas. & Sur. Co. of Am. v. Brenneke, 551 F.3d 1132, 1135 (9th Cir. 2009). Moreover, 6 although this Court may order personal service on defendant Taylor by a United States marshal 7 (see Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(2)), this Court will not attempt personal service unless mail service is 8 unavailing. See Local Civil Rule 4(c). 9 Therefore, plaintiff's "motion for default" (Dkt. 44) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. If the named defendants are in possession of the last known business or 11 residential address of defendant Joby Taylor, defendants are ordered to submit such address(es) 12 to the Court under seal on or before May 31, 2019 so that the Clerk may attempt to effect 13 service by mail. This solution alleviates two concerns involving prisoner litigation: (1) the 14 security risks inherent in providing prisoners with addresses of people formerly employed by the 15 state, and (2) the reality of prisoners getting the "runaround" when they are attempting to access information through the government. See Sellers v. United States, 902 F.2d 598, 602–603 (7th 16 17 Cir. 1990). Defendant Taylor may also satisfy this order by filing a waiver and by having 18 counsel enter a notice of appearance on his or her behalf. All service documents with said 19 address(es) shall also be filed under seal. 20 Dated this 14th day of May, 2019. 21 22 23 J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge 24